§ 423.1984

- (2) The IRE, ALJ, or the MAC must mail its revised determination or decision to the Part D plan sponsor.
- (3) An adverse revised determination or decision must state the rationale and basis for the reopening and revision and any right to appeal.
- (b) Reopenings initiated at the request of an enrollee or a Part D plan sponsor. (1) The Part D plan sponsor, IRE, ALJ, or the MAC must mail its revised determination or decision to the enrollee at his or her last known address.
- (2) The IRE, ALJ, or the MAC must mail its revised determination or decision to the Part D plan sponsor.
- (3) An adverse revised determination or decision must state the rationale and basis for the reopening and revision and any right to appeal.

§ 423.1984 Effect of a revised determination or decision.

- (a) Coverage determinations. The revision of a coverage determination is binding unless an enrollee submits a request for a redetermination that is accepted and processed in accordance with § 423.580 through § 423.590.
- (b) Redeterminations. The revision of a redetermination is binding unless an enrollee submits a request for an IRE reconsideration that is accepted and processed in accordance with §423.600 through §423.604.
- (c) Reconsiderations. The revision of a reconsideration is binding unless an enrollee submits a request for an ALJ hearing that is accepted and processed in accordance with \$423.1970 through \$423.1972 and \$423.2000 through \$423.2063.
- (d) ALJ hearing decisions. The revision of a hearing decision is binding unless an enrollee submits a request for a MAC review that is accepted and processed as specified in §423.1974 and §423.2100 through §423.2130.
- (e) MAC review. The revision of a MAC determination or decision is binding unless an enrollee files a civil action in which a Federal District Court accepts jurisdiction and issues a decision.
- (f) Appeal of only the portion of the determination or decision revised by the reopening. Only the portion of the coverage determination, redetermination, reconsideration, or hearing decision re-

vised by the reopening may be subsequently appealed.

(g) Effect of a revised determination or decision. Consistent with §423.1978(c), a revised determination or decision is binding unless it is appealed or otherwise reopened.

§ 423.1986 Good cause for reopening.

- (a) Establishing good cause. Good cause may be established when—
- (1) There is new and material evidence that—
- (i) Was not available or known at the time of the determination or decision; and
- (ii) May result in a different conclusion: or
- (2) The evidence that was considered in making the determination or decision clearly shows on its face that an obvious error was made at the time of the determination or decision.
- (b) Change in substantive law or interpretative policy. (1) General rule. A change of legal interpretation or policy by CMS in a regulation, CMS ruling, or CMS general instruction, whether made in response to judicial precedent or otherwise, is not a basis for reopening a determination or hearing decision regarding appeals under this section.
- (2) An adjudicator may reopen a determination or decision to apply the current law or CMS or the Part D plan sponsor policy rather than the law or CMS or the Part D plan sponsor policy at the time the coverage determination is made in situations where the enrollee has not yet received the drug and the current law or CMS or the Part D plan sponsor policy may affect whether the drug should be received.
- (c) Third party payer error. A request to reopen a claim based upon a third party payer's error in making a primary payment determination when Medicare processed the claim in accordance with the information in its system of records or on the claim form does not constitute good cause for reopening.

§ 423.1990 Expedited access to judicial review.

- (a) Process for expedited access to judicial review.
- (1) For purposes of this section, a "review entity" means an entity of up

to three reviewers who are ALJs or members of the Departmental Appeals Board, as determined by the Secretary.

- (2) In order to obtain expedited access to judicial review (EAJR), a review entity must certify that the MAC does not have the authority to decide the question of law or regulation relevant to the matters in dispute and that there is no material issue of fact in dispute.
- (3) An enrollee may make a request for EAJR only once with respect to a question of law or regulation for a specific matter in dispute in an appeal.
- (b) Conditions for making the expedited appeals request. (1) An enrollee may request EAJR in place of an ALJ hearing or MAC review if the following conditions are met:
- (i) An IRE has made a reconsideration determination and the enrollee has filed a request for an ALJ hearing in accordance with § 423.2002 and a final decision, dismissal order, or remand order of the ALJ has not been issued; or
- (ii) An ALJ has made a decision and the enrollee has filed a request for MAC review in accordance with §423.2102 and a final decision, dismissal order, or remand order of the MAC has not been issued.
 - (2) The requestor is an enrollee.
- (3) The amount remaining in controversy meets the threshold requirements established annually by the Secretary.
- (4) If there is more than one enrollee to the hearing or MAC review, each enrollee concurs, in writing, with the request for the EAJR.
- (5) There are no material issues of fact in dispute.
- (c) Content of the request for EAJR. The request for EAJR must— $\,$
- (1) Allege that there are no material issues of fact in dispute and identify the facts that the enrollee considers material and that are not disputed; and
- (2) Assert that the only factor precluding a decision favorable to the enrollee is— $\,$
- (i) A statutory provision that is unconstitutional, or a provision of a regulation that is invalid and specify the statutory provision that the enrollee considers unconstitutional or the pro-

- vision of a regulation that the enrollee considers invalid; or
- (ii) A CMS Ruling that the enrollee considers invalid.
- (3) Include a copy of the IRE reconsideration and of any ALJ hearing decision that the enrollee has received;
- (4) If the IRE reconsideration or ALJ hearing decision was based on facts that the enrollee is disputing, state why the enrollee considers those facts to be immaterial; and
- (5) If the IRE reconsideration or ALJ hearing decision was based on a provision of a law, regulation, or CMS Ruling in addition to the one the enrollee considers unconstitutional or invalid, a statement as to why further administrative review of how that provision applies to the facts is not necessary.
- (d) Place and time for an EAJR request. (1) Method and place for filing request. The enrollee may include an EAJR request in his or her request for an ALJ hearing or MAC review, or, if an appeal is already pending with an ALJ or the MAC, file a written EAJR request with the ALJ hearing office or MAC where the appeal is being considered. The ALJ hearing office or MAC forwards the request to the review entity within 5 calendar days of receipt.
- (2) Time of filing request. The enrollee may file a request for EAJR—
- (i) If the enrollee has requested a hearing, at any time before receipt of the notice of the ALJ's decision; or
- (ii) If the enrollee has requested MAC review, at any time before receipt of notice of the MAC's decision.
- (e) Determination on EAJR request. (1) The review entity described in paragraph (a) of this section will determine whether the request for EAJR meets all of the requirements of paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section.
- (2) Within 60 calendar days after the date the review entity receives a request and accompanying documents and materials meeting the conditions in paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section, the review entity will issue either a certification in accordance with paragraph (f) of this section or a denial of the request.
- (3) A determination by the review entity either certifying that the requirements for EAJR are met pursuant to paragraph (f) of this section or denying

§ 423.2000

the request is not subject to review by the Secretary.

- (4) If the review entity fails to make a determination within the timeframe specified in paragraph (e)(2) of this section, then the enrollee may bring a civil action in Federal District Court within 60 calendar days of the end of the timeframe.
- (f) Certification by the review entity. If an enrollee meets the requirements for the EAJR, the review entity certifies in writing that—
- (1) The material facts involved in the appeal are not in dispute;
- (2) Except as indicated in paragraph (f)(3) of this section, the Secretary's interpretation of the law is not in dispute;
- (3) The sole issue(s) in dispute is the constitutionality of a statutory provision, or the validity of a provision of a regulation or CMS Ruling;
- (4) But for the provision challenged, the enrollee would receive a favorable decision on the ultimate issue; and
- (5) The certification by the review entity is the Secretary's final action for purposes of seeking expedited judicial review.
- (g) Effect of certification by the review entity. If an EAJR request results in a certification described in paragraph (f) of this section:
- (1) The enrollee that requested the EAJR is considered to have waived any right to completion of the remaining steps of the administrative appeals process regarding the matter certified.
- (2) The enrollee has 60 calendar days, beginning on the date of the review entity's certification within which to bring a civil action in Federal District Court.
- (3) The enrollee must satisfy the requirements for venue under section 205(g) of the Act, as well as the requirements for filing a civil action in a Federal District Court under § 423.2136.
- (h) Rejection of EAJR. (1) If a request for EAJR does not meet all the conditions set out in paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section, or if the review entity does not certify a request for EAJR, the review entity advises the enrollee in writing that the request has been denied, and returns the request to the ALJ hearing office or the MAC, which

will treat it as a request for hearing or for MAC review, as appropriate.

(2) Whenever a review entity forwards a rejected EAJR request to an ALJ hearing office or the MAC, the appeal is considered timely filed and the 90 calendar day decision making timeframe begins on the day the request is received by the hearing office or the MAC.

§ 423.2000 Hearing before an ALJ: general rule.

- (a) If an enrollee is dissatisfied with an IRE's reconsideration, the enrollee may request a hearing.
- (b) A hearing may be conducted inperson, by video-teleconference, or by telephone. At the hearing, the enrollee may submit evidence subject to the restrictions in §423.2018, examine the evidence used in making the determination under review, and present and/or question witnesses.
- (c) In some circumstances, the Part D plan sponsor, or a representative of CMS, including the IRE, may participate in the hearing as specified in § 423.2010.
- (d) The ALJ conducts a de novo review and issues a decision based on the hearing record.
- (e) If an enrollee waives his or her right to appear at the hearing in person or by telephone or video-tele-conference, the ALJ may make a decision based on the evidence that is in the file and any new evidence that is submitted for consideration.
- (f) The ALJ may require the enrollee to participate in a hearing if it is necessary to decide the case. If the ALJ determines that it is necessary to obtain testimony from a person other than the enrollee, he or she may hold a hearing to obtain that testimony, even if the enrollee has waived the right to appear. In that event, however, the ALJ will give the enrollee the opportunity to appear when the testimony is given, but may hold the hearing even if the enrollee decides not to appear.
- (g) An ALJ may also issue a decision on the record on his or her own initiative if the evidence in the hearing record supports a fully favorable finding.